American Society of Sanitary Engineering PRODUCT (SEAL) LISTING PROGRAM Factory Audit Inspection Test Report



ASSE STANDARD #1064-2006 (R2011) - REVISED: 2011 Backflow Prevention Assembly Field Test Kits

LABORATORY FILE NUMBER:
LISTEE:
SEAL #:
MODEL # TESTED:
MODEL SIZE: ADDITIONAL MODEL INFORMATION (i.e. orientation, series, end connections, shut-off valves):
ADDITIONAL MODEL INFORMATION (i.e. orientation, series, end connections, shut-oil valves):
NUMBER OF SAMPLES SUBMITTED: NUMBER OF SAMPLES TESTED:
DATE TESTING BEGAN:
DATE TESTING COMPLETED:

General information and instructions for the testing engineer:

The results within this report apply only to the models listed above.

There may be items for which the judgment of the test engineer will be involved. Should there be a question of compliance with that provision of the standard, a conference with the manufacturer should be arranged to enable a satisfactory solution of the question.

Should disagreement persist and compliance remain in question by the test agency, the agency shall, if the product is in compliance with all other requirements of the standard, file a complete report on the questionable items together with the test report, for evaluation by the ASSE Seal Board. The Seal Board will then review and rule on the question of compliance with the intent of the standard then involved.

Documentation of material compliance must be furnished by the manufacturer. The manufacturer shall furnish to the testing agency, a bill of material which clearly identifies the material of each part included in the product construction. This identification must include any standards which relate thereto.





FIRST SAMPLE TEST RESULTS SECTION III

3.0 P€	erformance Requirements And Compliance Testing Was the BFTK tested as a complete assembly? NOTE: BFTK's shall be tested as a complete assembly.	O Yes	O No
3.1	Conditioning Test Was the BFTK exposed to 150.0°F $+0^\circ/-5.0$ °F (65.5°C $+0^\circ$ C/-2.8°C) and -0° F/ $+5.0$ °F (0.6°C $+2.8$ °C/-0°C) for thirty minutes at each temperature		ng? O No
3.2 3.2.4	Accuracy Test Procedure With the inlet connection to the high side of the BFTK at various pressures a connection of the low side of the BFTK at atmospheric, record BFTK reading		t
	(3) At 14.0 psi (96.5 kPa): ps (4) At 7.0 psi (48.3 kPa): ps (5) At 5.0 psi (34.5 kPa): ps (6) At 2.0 psi (13.8 kPa): ps (7) At 1.0 psi (6.9 kPa): ps	si (si (si (kPa) kPa) kPa) kPa) kPa)
	At 0.0 psi (0.0 kPa), does the BFTK indicate a pressure reading?	O Yes	O No
3.2.5	Did any of the recorded readings fall outside the accuracy tolerances specific	ed in Table I	? O No
	Was the BFTK in full compliance with Section 3.2.1 thru 3.2.5?	Oyes	O No
3.2.6	Additional Accuracy Test for Digital BFTK that use two pressure transducers pressure differential.	s to indicate	
3.2.6.4	Procedure With both the high and low sides of the BFTK at a nominal working pressure 5.0 psi (13790 kPa \pm 34.5 kPa), record the BFTK reading:	e of 200.0 ps	si ±
	(5) At 14.0 psi (96.5 kPa): ps (6) At 7.0 psi (48.3 kPa): ps (7) At 5.0 psi (34.5 kPa): ps (8) At 2.0 psi (13.8 kPa): ps (9) At 1.0 psi (6.9 kPa): ps (10) At 0.0 psi (0.0 kPa): ps	Si (Si (Si (kPa) kPa) kPa) kPa) kPa) kPa)
3.2.6.5	Did any of the recorded readings fall outside the accuracy tolerances specific	ed in Table II	? O No
	Was the BFTK in full compliance with sections 3.2.6.1 thru 3.2.6.5?	Oyes	ONo
3.3	Hydrostatic Shell Test Which option of testing was utilized?	_	
	What was the pressure that was applied to the inlet hose(s)?ps	si (kPa





	The test period was for		minutes
	Were there any leaks or indication of damage to the device or any of the comp	onents? O Yes	O No
	Was the BFTK in compliance with Section 3.3?	Oyes	O No
3.4 3.4.2.1	Pressure Shock Test High Side Pressure Shock Test What was the pressure applied to the high side of the BFTK?	,	
	psig	(kPa)
	What was the pressure in the accumulator?psig	(kPa)
	What were the number of cycles used?		_cycles
	During the cycling period, did the pressure rise rate remain between 3000 and psi/sec (20,685.0 and 27, 580.0 kPa/sec)?	4000 O yes	O No
3.4.2.2	Low Side Pressure Shock Test What was the pressure applied to the low side of the BFTK? psig	(kPa)
	μοίς	'	Ki a)
	What was the pressure in the accumulator?psig	(kPa)
	What were the number of cycles used?		_cycles
	During the cycling period, did the pressure rise rate remain between 3000 and psi/sec (20,685.0 and 27, 580.0 kPa/sec)?	4000 O Yes	O No
	Was the BFTK in compliance with both the high side and low side pressure sho	ock tests? O Yes	O No
3.4.2.3	Repeat the Accuracy Test of Section 3.2. Was the BFTK in compliance with Section 3.2 following the pressure shock te Section 3.4?	sts of O Yes	O No
	Did the pressure differential gauges maintain the accuracy shown in Section 1 after the pressure shock tests?	.2.2.1 O Yes	O No



3.2.6.5

3.3

Hydrostatic Shell Test

Which option of testing was utilized?



O Yes

O Yes

SECOND SAMPLE TEST RESULTS*

OLOG		NIVII LL ILOI	HEOGETO				
*A sec	cond san	nple shall only	be tested if the first sample fail	ed the necessary test section	ns.		
SECT	ION III						
	3.0	Performance	Requirements And Compliance	Testing		_	_
		Was the	BFTK tested as a complete asse	mbly?		O Yes	O No
		NOTE: BF	TK's shall be tested as a compl	ete assembly.			
	3.1	Condition	ing Teet				
	3.1		BFTK exposed to 150.0°F +0°.	/-5 0°F (65 5°C +0°C/-2 8	°C) and 3	3 0°F	
			.0°F (0.6°C +2.8°C/-0°C) for				na?
			,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			Oyes	ON
	3.2	Accuracy					
	3.2.4	Procedure					
			inlet connection to the high side			the outlet	t
		connectio	on of the low side of the BFTK a	t atmospheric, record BFTK	reading:		
		(3)	At 14.0 psi (96.5 kPa):		psi	(kPa
		(4)	At 7.0 psi (48.3 kPa):		psi	` ———	kPa
		(5)	At 5.0 psi (34.5 kPa):		psi	` ———	kPa
		(6)	At 2.0 psi (13.8 kPa):		psi	` ———	kPa
		(7)	At 1.0 psi (6.9 kPa):		psi	<u>`</u>	kPa
					·	<u> </u>	
		At 0.0 ps	i (0.0 kPa), does the BFTK indic	ate a pressure reading?		O Yes	O No
	3.2.5	Did anv o	of the recorded readings fall outs	side the accuracy tolerances	specified	in Table I?	
		, .		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		Oyes	ON
		Was the l	BFTK in full compliance with Se	ction 3.2.1 thru 3.2.5?		O Yes	\bigcirc No
	3.2.6		I Accuracy Test for Digital BFT	K that use two pressure tran	sducers to	indicate	
		pressure	differential.				
	3.2.6.4	4 Procedure	ż.				
	0.2.0.		n the high and low sides of the E	BFTK at a nominal working r	ressure of	200.0 ps	i ±
			3790 kPa ±34.5 kPa), record t	• .			
		•		· ·			
		(5)	At 14.0 psi (96.5 kPa):		psi	(kPa
		(6)	At 7.0 psi (48.3 kPa):		psi	(kPa
		(7)	At 5.0 psi (34.5 kPa):		psi	(kPa
		(8)	At 2.0 psi (13.8 kPa):		psi	(kPa
		(9)	At 1.0 psi (6.9 kPa):		psi	(kPa
		(10)	At 0.0 psi (0.0 kPa):		psi	(kPa

Was the BFTK in full compliance with sections 3.2.6.1 thru 3.2.6.5?

Did any of the recorded readings fall outside the accuracy tolerances specified in Table I?





	What was the pressure that was applied to the inlet hose(s)?		
	psi(kPa)
	The test period was for	1	minutes
	Were there any leaks or indication of damage to the device or any of the compo	nents? O Yes	O No
	Was the BFTK in compliance with Section 3.3?	O Yes	O No
3.4 3.4.2.1	Pressure Shock Test High Side Pressure Shock Test What was the pressure applied to the high side of the BFTK?		
	psig (kPa)
	What was the pressure in the accumulator?psig (kPa)
	What were the number of cycles used?		_cycles
	During the cycling period, did the pressure rise rate remain between 3000 and 4 psi/sec (20,685.0 and 27, 580.0 kPa/sec)?	000 O Yes	O No
3.4.2.2	Low Side Pressure Shock Test What was the pressure applied to the low side of the BFTK?		
	psig (kPa)
	What was the pressure in the accumulator?psig (kPa)
	What were the number of cycles used?		_cycles
	During the cycling period, did the pressure rise rate remain between 3000 and 4 psi/sec (20,685.0 and 27, 580.0 kPa/sec)?	000 O Yes	O No
	Was the BFTK in compliance with both the high side and low side pressure shoc	k tests? O Yes	O No
3.4.2.3	Repeat the Accuracy Test of Section 3.2. Was the BFTK in compliance with Section 3.2 following the pressure shock tests Section 3.4?	s of O Yes	O No
	Did the pressure differential gauges maintain the accuracy shown in Section 1.2 after the pressure shock tests?	.2.1 O Yes	O No



ESTING AGENCY:	
ADDRESS:	
PHONE:	FAX:
TEST ENGINEERS:	
We Certify that the evaluations are based on our best judge accurate record of the performance of the device on test.	ments and that the test data recorded is an
SIGNATURE OF THE OFFICIAL OF THE AGENCY:	
TITLE OF THE OFFICIAL:	DATE:
SIGNATURE AND SEAL OF THE REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER SUPERVISING THE LABORATORY EVALUATION:	
SIGNATURE:	
	PE SEAL

*To insert images into document (PE seal and signatures)

Adobe Acrobat Pro users: At the top of the page, go to: Tools > Advanced Editing > TouchUp Object Tool. Once you have selected TouchUp Object Tool, right click within the document and select Place Image. Choose the image you want to place (PE seal or signature) and then select Open. Once the image is in the document, move and re-size the image accordingly. Save and send to ASSE.

Adobe Reader users: Adobe Reader does not allow users to place images into the document. You must print this completed document and then sign and stamp the PE seal by hand. You may then send the completed document to ASSE via fax or mail, or you can scan the completed document and send via e-mail.

COMMENTS: