American Society of Sanitary Engineering PRODUCT (SEAL) LISTING PROGRAM Factory Audit Inspection Test Report



ASSE STANDARD #1048 - REVISED: 2011 Double Check Detector Fire Protection Backflow Prevention Assemblies

ABORATORY FILE NUMBER:
STEE:
EAL #:
IODEL # TESTED:
IODEL SIZE:
DDITIONAL MODEL INFORMATION (i.e. orientation, series, end connections, shut-off valves):
UMBER OF SAMPLES SUBMITTED: NUMBER OF SAMPLES TESTED:
ATE TESTING BEGAN:
ATE TESTING COMPLETED:

General information and instructions for the testing engineer:

The results within this report apply only to the models listed above.

There may be items for which the judgment of the test engineer will be involved. Should there be a question of compliance with that provision of the standard, a conference with the manufacturer should be arranged to enable a satisfactory solution of the question.

Should disagreement persist and compliance remain in question by the test agency, the agency shall, if the product is in compliance with all other requirements of the standard, file a complete report on the questionable items together with the test report, for evaluation by the ASSE Seal Board. The Seal Board will then review and rule on the question of compliance with the intent of the standard then involved.

Documentation of material compliance must be furnished by the manufacturer. The manufacturer shall furnish to the testing agency, a bill of material which clearly identifies the material of each part included in the product construction. This identification must include any standards which relate thereto.





FIRST SAMPLE TEST RESULTS SECTION III

3.0 3.2	Hydrostat What was The test p Were ther	ic Test of C the pressure period was for e any leaks		bly test? minutes of damage to the	e assembly? O Y		
3.6	Was the a	ssembly ins	oss at Rated Flo talled per Figur			O Ye	es O No
	What was What pres	the supply ssure loss th		for this test? g system (if any	y) was deducted?	GPM (psi (? psi (kPa)
			re loss observed		\neg		
	GPM	L/s	psi	(kPa)	\dashv		
	05.0	0.32		.			
	10.0	0.63		.	-		
	15.0	0.95			_		
	20.0	1.26		.			
	25.0	1.58					
	30.0	1.89	<u> </u>	.			
	35.0	2.21		.			
	40.0	2.52					
	45.0	2.84					
	50.0	3.15					
	150% of 200% of What was cending a Did the pr maximum	nd descendi essure drop total down	um pressure los ng)? generally incre ward deviation	ase from static of 10% from th	lows from (0) GF up to a flow of 5 e highest previou	psi (psi (50.0 GPM (3.1! us value at any O Ye nponents of the	kPa) w (both as- kPa) L/s) with a point? s
	Was the a	ssembly on	test in complet	te compliance w	vith the criteria o	O Ye f Section 3.6? O Ye	_
3.8	What was What was	the initial he the final he	eight of water eight of water	in the sight glas	ss at test cock #2 ss at test cock #3 vels between the	2: inches 3: inches	(mm) (mm) at test cocks





	The test period was for:	minutes.		
3.9	Drip Tightness of the Second	Check		
	What was the initial height of	water in the sight glass at test cock #3	: inches (mm
	What was the initial height of	water in the sight glass at test cock #4	: inches (mm
	What was the final height diff	erence in the water levels between the s	sight glasses at tes	t cocks
	#3 and #4?		inches (mm
	The test period was for:	minutes.		
3.10	Drip Tightness of Bypass Che	ck (For DCDA-II Assemblies)		
	What was the initial height dif	fference between the two sight glasses?	inches (mm
	What was the final height diff	erence between the two sight glasses?	inches (mm
	The test period was for:	minutes.		





inches (

inches (mm)

inches (mm)

#2 and #3?

SECOND SAMPLE TEST RESULTS* *A second sample shall only be tested if the first sample failed the necessary test sections. SECTION III 3.0 Performance Requirements and Compliance Testing 3.2 Hydrostatic Test of Complete Assembly What was the pressure used for this test? psi (The test period was for: _____ minutes Were there any leaks or indications of damage to the assembly? O Yes O No O Questionable If questionable, explain: 3.6 Allowable Pressure Loss at Rated Flow O No O Yes Was the assembly installed per Figure 1? If no, explain: What was the rated water flow for the assembly? GPM (What was the supply pressure used for this test? kPa) What pressure loss through the piping system (if any) was deducted? psi (kPa) What was the pressure loss observed at flows of: **GPM** L/s psi (kPa) 05.0 0.32 10.0 0.63 15.0 0.95 20.0 1.26 25.0 1.58 30.0 1.89 2.21 35.0 40.0 2.52 45.0 2.84 50.0 3.15 What was the pressure loss at: 150% of Rated Flow kPa) 200% of Rated Flow kPa) psi (What was the maximum pressure loss observed at flows from (0) GPM to rated flow (both ascending and descending)? psi (kPa) Did the pressure drop generally increase from static up to a flow of 50.0 GPM (3.15 L/s) with a maximum total downward deviation of 10% from the highest previous value at any point? O Yes \bigcirc No Was there any damage or permanent deformation of the internal components of the assembly? O Yes Was the assembly on test in complete compliance with the criteria of Section 3.6? O Yes \bigcirc No 3.8 **Drip Tightness of First Check**

What was the final height difference in the water levels between the sight glasses at test cocks

What was the initial height of water in the sight glass at test cock #2:

What was the initial height of water in the sight glass at test cock #3:





	The test period was for:	minutes.		
3.9	Drip Tightness of the Second Ch	eck		
	What was the initial height of wa	ater in the sight glass at test cock #3:	inches (mm
	What was the initial height of wa	ater in the sight glass at test cock #4:	inches (mm
	What was the final height differe	nce in the water levels between the sigh	nt glasses at tes	st cocks
	#3 and #4?		inches (mm
	The test period was for:	minutes.		
3.10	Drip Tightness of Bypass Check	(For DCDA-II Assemblies)		
	What was the initial height differ	ence between the two sight glasses?	inches (mm
	What was the final height differe	nce between the two sight glasses?	inches (mm
	The test period was for:	minutes.		



ESTING AGENCY:	
ADDRESS:	
PHONE:	FAX:
EST ENGINEERS:	
We Certify that the evaluations are based on our best judge eccurate record of the performance of the device on test.	ments and that the test data recorded is an
SIGNATURE OF THE OFFICIAL OF THE AGENCY:	
TITLE OF THE OFFICIAL:	DATE:
SIGNATURE AND SEAL OF THE REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL INGINEER SUPERVISING THE LABORATORY EVALUATION:	
SIGNATURE:	
	PE SEAL

*To insert images into document (PE seal and signatures)

Adobe Acrobat Pro users: At the top of the page, go to: Tools > Advanced Editing > TouchUp Object Tool. Once you have selected TouchUp Object Tool, right click within the document and select Place Image. Choose the image you want to place (PE seal or signature) and then select Open. Once the image is in the document, move and re-size the image accordingly. Save and send to ASSE.

Adobe Reader users: Adobe Reader does not allow users to place images into the document. You must print this completed document and then sign and stamp the PE seal by hand. You may then send the completed document to ASSE via fax or mail, or you can scan the completed document and send via e-mail.

COMMENTS: